

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE  
WESTERN DIVISION**

---

LANTERN SQUARE APARTMENTS,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	No. 2:18-cv-02575-TLP-cgc
v.	)	
	)	
ANGEL KING,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

---

**ORDER ADOPTING THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION**

---

Before the Court is the Magistrate Judge’s Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 7), which addresses Defendant’s Notice of Removal. (ECF No. 1.) In the Report and Recommendation, the Magistrate Judge recommends that the detainer action be remanded to the Shelby County Court of General Sessions pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1447(c).

Specifically, the Magistrate Judge found that the Parties lacked diversity jurisdiction because the parties are citizens of Tennessee, and the amount in controversy does not exceed \$75,000. The Magistrate Judge further concluded that Plaintiff did not present any federal question on the face of its complaint.

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b)(2), “[w]ithin 14 days after being served with a copy of the recommended disposition, a party may serve and file specific written objections to the proposed findings and recommendations.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(2). No objections to the Report and Recommendation have been filed, and the time for filing objections has expired. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(b)(2), 6(d), 72(b)(2).

“When no timely objection is filed, the court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b) advisory committee notes. Having reviewed the Magistrate Judge’s Report and Recommendation, the Court finds no clear error and ADOPTS the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 7) in its entirety.

Thus, the Court Orders that this matter be remanded to the Shelby County Court of General Sessions.

**SO ORDERED**, this 15th day of October, 2018.

s/ Thomas L. Parker  
\_\_\_\_\_  
THOMAS L. PARKER  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE